(k, l)-RADII OF PETERSEN GRAPH

Martin Knor

Abstract. Let K be a set of k vertices. The k-distance of K is the sum of all distances between pairs of vertices of K. The (k, l)-eccentricity of a set of l vertices L is the maximum k-distance over all sets K, such that $L \subseteq K$ and |K| = k. Finally, the (k, l)-radius of a graph is its minimum (k, l)-eccentricity. In this note we determine (k, l)-radius of Petersen graph for all possible values of k and l.

1. Introduction and results

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a graph. The **distance**, d(u, v), between two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ is the length of a shortest path connecting u with v in G, while the **diameter**, diam(G), is the greatest distance in G. The **eccentricity**, e(v), is the distance to a vertex farthest from v. Then the **radius**, rad(G), is the smallest eccentricity in G, while the greatest eccentricity is the diameter defined above. I.e.,

$$rad(G) = \min_{v \in V(G)} e(v) = \min_{v \in V(G)} (\max_{u \in V(G)} d(u, v)), \quad diam(G) = \max_{v \in V(G)} (\max_{u \in V(G)} d(u, v)).$$

The radius and the diameter are basic invariants in theory of graphs, see [2]. They are very useful, although they do not give a full information about the graph. This defect can be reduced by inventing distance-related concepts which express the structure of G in a better way. The most reasonable thing is to consider sets of vertices instead of pairs. Let k be a number, $1 \le k \le |V(G)|$, and let K be a set of k distinct vertices in G. Then the k-distance of K, $d_k(K)$, is the sum of distances between all pairs of vertices of K. Observe that the usual distance is 2-distance in our new notation. The k-diameter, $diam_k(G)$, is the maximum k-distance in a graph, see Goddard, Swart and Swart [1]. Here we recall that, for n = |V(G)|, the n-distance is called the distance (transmission) of a graph, see Šoltés [6].

Now we introduce the key notion of this paper, the (k, l)-radius. Analogously as for the usual radius, we start with (k, l)-eccentricity. Let k and l be integer numbers, $0 \le l \le k \le |V(G)|, k > 0$, and let L be a set of l vertices in G. Then the (k, l)-eccentricity of L, $e_{k,l}(L)$, is the maximum k-distance of a set of k vertices K, containing L. I.e.,

$$e_{k,l}(L) = \max_{L \subseteq K \subseteq V(G)} (d_k(K); |K| = k).$$

Now (k, l)-radius of G, $rad_{k,l}(G)$, is the minimum (k, l)-eccentricity of a set of l vertices, see Horváthová [3]. Thus,

$$rad_{k,l}(G) = \min_{L \subseteq V(G)} (e_{k,l}(L); |L| = l) = \min_{L \subseteq V(G)} (\max_{L \subseteq K \subseteq V(G)} (d_k(K); |K| = k); |L| = l).$$

The notion of (k, l)-radius generalizes the usual diameter as $diam(G) = rad_{2,0}(G)$; further the radius $rad(G) = rad_{2,1}(G)$; the k-diameter is $rad_{k,0}(G)$; and the distance of a graph is $rad_{|V(G)|,0}(G)$.

In [4] Horváthová has shown that for almost all graphs G we have

$$rad_{k,l}(G) = 2\binom{k}{2} - \binom{l}{2}$$

But up to now, only for complete graphs K_n (which is trivial) and complete bipartite graphs $K_{1,n}$, $K_{2,n}$ (see Horváthová [5]) the (k, l)-radius has been determined for all admissible values of k and l. In this paper we determine (k, l)-radius for the most famous graph, the Petersen graph P, see Figure 1.



Figure 1

Theorem 1. Let l and k be integer numbers, $0 \le l \le k \le 10$, and let k > 0. Then the values of (k, l)-radius of Petersen graph are listed in Table 1, where the rows correspond to values of l, and the columns correspond to k.

$_{l}\setminus^{k}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	0	2	6	12	18	27	36	47	60	75
1	0	2	6	12	18	27	36	47	60	75
2	-	1	5	11	18	27	36	47	60	75
3	-	-	4	10	18	26	36	47	60	75
4	-	-	-	9	16	25	36	47	60	75
5	-	-	-	-	15	24	35	47	60	75
6	-	-	-	-	-	24	35	47	60	75
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	34	47	60	75
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	60	75
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	75
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75

Table 1

As proved by Horváthová in [3], $rad_{k,l}(G) \geq rad_{k,l+1}(G)$ for every graph G. This explains the values in every column. But what is the behaviour along rows? To be able to see something, one has to use "normalized" values of $rad_{k,l}(P)$. Therefore we present the values $\binom{k}{2}^{-1} \cdot rad_{k,l}(P)$ in Table 2. We see that the function $f_l(k) = \binom{k}{2}^{-1} \cdot rad_{k,l}(P)$ is first nondecreasing and then nonincreasing. Hence, we state the following problem:

$_{l}\setminus^{k}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.80	1.80	1.71	1.68	1.67	1.67
1	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.80	1.80	1.71	1.68	1.67	1.67
2	-	1.00	1.67	1.83	1.80	1.80	1.71	1.68	1.67	1.67
3	-	-	1.33	1.67	1.80	1.73	1.71	1.68	1.67	1.67
4	-	-	-	1.50	1.60	1.67	1.71	1.68	1.67	1.67
5	-	-	-	-	1.50	1.60	1.67	1.68	1.67	1.67
6	-	-	-	-	-	1.60	1.67	1.68	1.67	1.67
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.62	1.68	1.67	1.67
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.64	1.67	1.67
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.67	1.67
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.67

Ta	\mathbf{bl}	e 2	

Problem. What is the behaviour of $f_{l,G}(k) = {\binom{k}{2}}^{-1} \cdot rad_{k,l}(G)$ for a general graph G? Is it similar to that of $f_{l,P}(k)$?

In the reminder of this paper we present the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Proof

Proof of Theorem 1. At first we describe all sets of vertices of Petersen's graph P. As P has 10 vertices, we have $2^{10} = 1024$ possibilities. Of course, we do not need to distinguish between such sets L and L', for which there is an automorphism of P mapping L to L'. This reduces our task considerably, since Petersen graph is 3-arc transitive. It means that for every two directed paths of length three, say (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) and (u'_0, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3) , there is an automorphism ϕ of P such that $\phi(u_i) = u'_i$ for all $i, 0 \le i \le 3$.

The sets, which do not contain isomorphic copies, are depicted in Figure 2. Their total number is 34, which is much less than 1024. Here (L_j^i, x) means that we have *j*-th set, we denote it by L_j^i , it contains *i* vertices of *P* and *x* indicates the number of edges (depicted by bold lines) in the subgraph of *P* induced by L_j^i .

The completeness of our list is easily verified for small numbers of vertices. Therefore, for $i \ge 6$ we made L_j^i to be a complement of L_{35-j}^{10-i} , which means that it suffices to verify the completeness of the list $L_1^0, L_2^1, \ldots, L_{20}^5$. We let this as an easy exercise to the reader.

In the next we count the (k, l)-radii for all possible values of k and l. Observe that for every pair (L_j^i, x) of Figure 1 we have $d_i(L_j^i) = 2\binom{i}{2} - x$, since the diameter of Petersen graph is 2.

Obviously, for all values of l, $0 \leq l \leq 10$, we have $rad_{10,l}(P) = d_{10}(L_{34}^{10}) = 2\binom{10}{2} - 15 = 75$, since P has exactly 15 edges. And as P is a vertex-transitive graph, $rad_{9,l}(P) = d_9(L_{33}^9) = 2\binom{9}{2} - 12 = 60$ for all l, $0 \leq l \leq 9$.



Figure 2

Further $rad_{k,0}(P)$ is the greatest value of $d_k(L_j^k)$. Thus, $rad_{1,0}(P) = d_1(L_2^1) = 0$; $rad_{2,0}(P) = d_2(L_4^2) = 2\binom{2}{2} = 2$; $rad_{3,0}(P) = d_3(L_8^3) = 2\binom{3}{2} = 6$; $rad_{4,0}(P) = d_4(L_{14}^4) = 2\binom{4}{2} = 12$; $rad_{5,0}(P) = d_5(L_{20}^5) = 2\binom{5}{2} - 2 = 18$; $rad_{6,0}(P) = d_6(L_{21}^6) = 2\binom{6}{2}$

 $2\binom{6}{2} - 3 = 27$; $rad_{7,0}(P) = d_7(L_{27}^7) = 2\binom{7}{2} - 6 = 36$; and $rad_{8,0}(P) = d_8(L_{31}^8) = 2\binom{8}{2} - 9 = 47$. Since P is a vertex-transitive graph, $rad_{k,1}(P) = rad_{k,0}(P)$ for all k, $1 \le k \le 10$.

Similarly, $rad_{k,k}(P)$ is the least value of $d_k(L_j^k)$. Thus, $rad_{2,2}(P) = d_2(L_3^2) = 2\binom{2}{2} - 1 = 1$; $rad_{3,3}(P) = d_3(L_5^3) = 2\binom{3}{2} - 2 = 4$; $rad_{4,4}(P) = d_4(L_9^4) = 2\binom{4}{2} - 3 = 9$; $rad_{5,5}(P) = d_5(L_{15}^5) = 2\binom{5}{2} - 5 = 15$; $rad_{6,6}(P) = d_6(L_{26}^6) = 2\binom{6}{2} - 6 = 24$; $rad_{7,7}(P) = d_7(L_{30}^7) = 2\binom{7}{2} - 8 = 34$; and $rad_{8,8}(P) = d_8(L_{32}^8) = 2\binom{8}{2} - 10 = 46$.

Since for every l < 8 the complement of L_j^l contains a pair of nonadjacent vertices, L_{31}^8 contains a (isomorphic) copy of L_j^l . Therefore $r_{8,l}(P) = d_8(L_{31}^8) = 47$ for all l < 8.

Now consider l = 2. For k = 3, L_3^2 is contained in L_5^3 and L_6^3 , while L_4^2 is in all L_5^3 , L_6^3 , L_7^3 and L_8^3 . Therefore $rad_{3,2}(P) = e_{3,2}(L_3^2) = d_3(L_6^3) = 2\binom{3}{2} - 1 = 5$. Analogously, $rad_{4,2}(P) = e_{4,2}(L_3^2) = d_4(L_{13}^4) = 2\binom{4}{2} - 1 = 11$. However, for k > 4all L_j^k contain both L_3^2 and L_4^2 , so that $rad_{k,2}(P) = rad_{k,0}(P)$ when k > 4.

Let l = 3. For k = 4, L_5^3 is contained only in L_9^4 , L_{10}^4 and L_{11}^4 , while L_6^3 , L_7^3 and L_8^3 are contained in L_{13}^4 . Therefore $rad_{4,3}(P) = e_{4,3}(L_5^3) = d_4(L_{11}^4) = 2\binom{4}{2} - 2 = 10$. Since L_5^3 and L_8^3 are contained in L_{19}^5 and L_6^3 and L_7^3 are contained in L_{20}^5 , we have $rad_{5,3}(P) = d_5(L_{19}^5) = d_5(L_{20}^5) = 18$. For k = 6, L_5^3 is contained only in L_6^6 for $22 \le j \le 26$, while L_6^3 , L_7^3 and L_8^3 are contained in L_{21}^6 . Thus, $rad_{6,3}(P) = e_{6,3}(L_5^3) = d_6(L_{22}^6) = 2\binom{6}{2} - 4 = 26$. Finally, as all L_j^3 , $5 \le j \le 8$, are contained in L_{27}^7 , we have $rad_{7,3}(P) = d_7(L_{27}^7) = 36$.

Consider l = 4. For k = 5, L_{10}^4 is contained only in L_{17}^5 , while L_9^4 and L_{11}^4 are contained in L_{18}^5 , L_{12}^4 and L_{13}^4 are contained in L_{20}^5 and L_{14}^4 is contained in L_{19}^5 . Thus, $rad_{5,4}(P) = e_{5,4}(L_{10}^4) = d_5(L_{17}^5) = 2\binom{5}{2} - 4 = 16$. For k = 6, L_{10}^4 is contained only in L_j^6 , $23 \le j \le 26$, while the remaining L_j^4 , $j \ne 10$, are contained in L_{22}^6 . Therefore $rad_{6,4}(P) = e_{6,4}(L_{10}^4) = d_6(L_{23}^6) = 2\binom{6}{2} - 5 = 25$. Finally, for k = 7 all L_j^4 , $9 \le j \le 14$, are contained in L_{27}^7 , so that $rad_{7,4}(P) = d_7(L_{27}^7) = 36$.

Now suppose that l = 5. Since L_{15}^5 is contained only in L_{26}^6 for k = 6, we have $rad_{6,5}(P) = e_{6,5}(L_{15}^5) = d_6(L_{26}^6) = 24$. For k = 7 L_{15}^5 is contained only in L_{29}^7 and L_{30}^7 , while L_j^5 , $16 \le j \le 20$, are contained in L_{27}^7 . Therefore $rad_{7,5}(P) = e_{7,5}(L_{15}^5) = d_7(L_{29}^7) = 2\binom{7}{2} - 7 = 35$.

As the last case consider l = 6 and k = 7. Observe that L_{26}^6 is contained only in L_{29}^7 and L_{30}^7 . However, L_{25}^6 is contained in L_{28}^7 , L_{24}^6 is contained in L_{27}^7 , L_{23}^6 is contained in L_{29}^7 , L_{22}^6 is contained in L_{28}^7 and L_{21}^6 is contained in L_{27}^7 . Therefore $rad_{7,6}(P) = e_{7,6}(L_{26}^6) = d_7(L_{29}^7) = 35$.

Acknowledgement This paper was supported by grant VEGA 1/2004/05.

References

- [1] Goddard, W., Swart, C.S., Swart, H.C.: On the graphs with minimum distance on k-diameter, (submitted).
- [2] Harrary, F., Buckley, F.: Distance in graphs, Addison Wesley Publishing Company 1989.

- [3] Horváthová, M.: Some properties of the (k, l)-radius, Journal of Electrical Engineering 56 (2005), pp. 26-28.
- [4] Horváthová, M.: On (k, l)-radius of random graphs, Acta Math. Univ. Commenianae LXXV, 2 (2006), pp. 1-4.
- [5] Horváthová, M.: The structure of (k, l)-central sets and the value of (k, l)-radii of complete bipartite graphs $K_{1,n}$ and $K_{2,n}$, to appear in Journal of Electrical Engineering
- [6] Šoltés, L'.: Transmission in graphs: a bound and vertex removing, Math. Slovaca 41 (1991), pp. 1-16.

Autor:

Doc. RNDr. Martin Knor, Dr., Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie, Stavebná fakulta STU, Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava, e-mail: knor@math.sk