ITERATED LINE GRAPHS

Martin Knor and L'udovít Niepel

The line-graph operator is one of the most natural operators in graph theory. It is hard to find a general monograph on graph theory in which a chapter on line graphs is missing. Therefore it is surprising that, unlike iterated line digraphs, papers devoted to iterated line graphs are very rare. The aim of this paper is to survey results on these graphs.

Let G be a graph. The **line graph** of G, L(G), is a graph whose vertices are edges of G, and two vertices are adjacent in L(G) if and only if the corresponding edges are adjacent in G. (For other basic notions we refer to the well-known monograph of Harary [1].)

It is known that the class of line graphs is a strict subclass of the class of all graphs. Several NP-hard problems become polynomial in the class of line graphs, and for this reason line graphs are widely studied.

Iterated line graphs of G, $L^{i}(G)$, are defined as follows:

$$L^{i}(G) = \begin{cases} G & \text{if } i = 0; \\ L(L^{i-1}(G)) & \text{if } i > 0. \end{cases}$$

In Figure 1 a sequence of iterated line graphs is depicted.



Assertion 1 ([8]) Let G be a connected graph.

- (i) If G is a path of length j, then $L^i(D)$ is a path of length j-i if $i \leq j$, and it is an empty graph if i > j.
- (ii) If G is a cycle, then each iterated line graph of G is isomorphic to the original cycle; if G is a claw $K_{1,3}$ then each iterated line graph of G is a triangle.
- (iii) If G is a connected graph different from a path, cycle and a claw, then

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} |V(L^i(G))| = \infty$$

(We remark that |V(G)| denotes the number of vertices of G.) By Assertion 1, it is enough to consider connected graphs different from a path, cycle and a claw. Such graphs G will be called **prolific**, since each two members of the sequence

$$G, L(G), L^2(G), \dots, L^i(G), \dots$$
(1)

are distinct.

Considering the sequence (1), it is natural to study the way the parameters of $L^i(G)$ depend on those of G. We begin with the minimum and the maximum degree, δ and Δ , respectively, and the number of vertices of a graph.

Assertion 2 ([8]) For a prolific graph G and $i \ge 1$ we have

$$2^{i} \cdot (\delta(G) - 2) + 2 \le \delta(L^{i}(G)) \le \Delta(L^{i}(G)) \le 2^{i} \cdot (\Delta(G) - 2) + 2,$$
$$|V(G)| \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \left[2^{j-1} \cdot (\delta(G) - 2) + 1 \right] \le |V(L^{i}(G))| \le |V(G)| \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \left[2^{j-1} \cdot (\Delta(G) - 2) + 1 \right]$$

Moreover, equalities hold for regular prolific graphs.

By diam(G) and rad(G) we denote the diameter and the radius of the graph G, respectively. For line graphs we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3 ([7]) Let G be a connected graph such that L(G) is not empty. Then

$$diam(G) - 1 \le diam(L(G)) \le diam(G) + 1 \quad and$$
$$rad(G) - 1 \le rad(L(G)) \le rad(G) + 1.$$

For iterated line graphs, Theorem 3 immediately yields the bounds

 $diam(G) - i \le diam(L^{i}(G)) \le diam(G) + i.$

Of course, this is not satisfactory. We have

Theorem 4 ([8]) Let G be a prolific graph. Then there are i_G and t_G such that for every $i \ge i_G$ it holds that

$$diam(L^i(G)) = i + t_G.$$

Theorem 5 ([8]) Let G be a connected noncomplete graph with the minimum degree at least three. Then for every $i \ge 1$ we have

$$i + diam(G) - 2 \le diam(L^i(G)) \le i + diam(G).$$

Unfortunately, for radius we do not have an analogue of Theorem 4.

Theorem 6 ([8]) Let G be a prolific graph. Then there are t_G and t'_G such that for every $i \ge 0$ we have

$$\left(i - \sqrt{2\log_2 i}\right) + t_G < rad(L^i(G)) < \left(i - \sqrt{2\log_2 i}\right) + t'_G.$$

By Theorems 4 and 6, for every prolific graph G there is a number k_G , such that if $i \ge k_G$ then $L^i(G)$ is not a selfcentric graph (i.e., the radius of $L^i(G)$ is strictly less than its diameter). Clearly, almost all graphs are prolific. Therefore the following result may be surprising. **Theorem 7** ([4]) Let $i \ge 0$. Then for almost all graphs G we have

$$diam(L^{i}(G)) = rad(L^{i}(G)) = i + 2.$$

We remark that Theorem 7 generalizes a well-known result which states that diam(G) = rad(G) = 2 for almost all graphs G, i.e., almost all graphs are selfcentric with diameter two.

Now we turn our attention to centers in iterated line graphs. It is known that each graph G can serve as a center of some graph, see [1]. We generalized this result to iterated line graphs.

Theorem 8 ([6]) Let G be a graph and let $0 \le j \le 2$. If $L^j(G)$ is not empty then there is a graph H, $H \supseteq G$, such that for every i, $0 \le i \le j$, we have

$$C(L^i(H)) = L^i(G).$$

Moreover, if G is triangle-free and $L^3(G)$ is not empty, then also

$$C(L^3(H)) = L^3(G).$$

Theorem 8 is best possible in a sense, since there is a graph G such that for every $i \geq 3$ and any graph $H, H \supseteq G$, we have $C(L^i(H)) \neq L^i(G)$, see [6].

We remark that Theorem 8 characterizes the centers of line graphs, since each induced subgraph of a line graph is a line graph. It means that G is a center of some line graph if and only if G is a line graph. However, the center of *i*-iterated line graph is not necessarily an *i*-iterated line graph if $i \ge 2$. Hence, the problem of characterizing the centers of *i*-iterated line graphs remains open for $i \ge 2$.

For vertex-connectivity κ of iterated line graphs we have

Theorem 9 ([5]) Let G be a connected graph with the minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 3$. Then $\kappa(L^2(G)) \geq \delta(G) - 1$.

Theorem 10 ([5]) Let G be a graph with $\kappa(G) \ge 4$. Then $\kappa(L^2(G)) \ge 4\delta(G) - 6$.

Having in mind Theorem 4, the Assertion 2 is not satisfactory. Therefore, in [8] we proposed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1 Let G be a prolific graph. Then there is i_G such that for every $i \ge i_G$ it holds

$$\delta(L^{i+1}(G)) = 2\delta(L^i(G)) - 2 \quad and$$
$$\Delta(L^{i+1}(G)) = 2\Delta(L^i(G)) - 2.$$

Recently, Hartke and Higgins proved both parts of the conjecture, see [2] for the maximum degree and [3] for the minimum one. Combining the result of [3] with Theorem 9 and 10, we have

Theorem 11 Let G be a prolific graph. Then there is i_G such that for every $i \ge i_G$ it holds

$$\kappa(L^i(G)) = \delta(L^i(G)).$$

By Theorem 11, the connectivity of $L^{i}(G)$ is the maximum possible, since it equals the minimum degree.

Finally we remark that recently Xiong and Liu have characterized Hamiltonian iterated line graphs $L^i(G)$ in terms of parameters of G, see [9].

Acknowledgement. The first author acknowledges support for this research by the VEGA grant No. 1/6293/99, and the second author acknowledges support for this research by Kuwait University grant #SM 02/00.

References

- [1] Harary F., Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley, London, 1969.
- [2] Hartke S. G., Higgins A. W., Maximum degree growth of the iterated line graph, Electron. J. Comb. 6, No. 1, Research paper R28, 9p. (1999).
- [3] Hartke S. G., Higgins A. W., Minimum degree growth of the iterated line graph, (submitted).
- [4] Knor M., A note on the radius in iterated line graphs, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae LXIV, 2 (1995), 255-258.
- [5] Knor M., Niepel L., Connectivity of iterated line graphs, (submitted).
- [6] Knor M., Niepel E., Šoltés E., Centers in iterated line graphs, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae LXI, 2 (1992), 237-241.
- [7] Knor M., Niepel L., Šoltés L., Centers in line graphs, Math. Slovaca 43 (1993), 11-20.
- [8] Niepel L., Knor M., Soltés L., Distances in iterated line graphs, Ars Combinatoria 43 (1996), 193-202.
- [9] Xiong L., Liu Z., Hamiltonian iterated line graphs, (submitted).

Authors: Doc. RNDr. Martin Knor, Dr., Department of Mathematics and Descriptive Geometry, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovak University of Technology, Radlinského 11, 813 68 Bratislava, Slovakia,

E-mail: knor@vox.svf.stuba.sk;

Doc. RNDr. L'udovít Niepel, CSc., Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Kuwait University, P.O. box 5969 Safat 13060, Kuwait, *E-mail:* NIEPEL@MATH-1.sci.kuniv.edu.kw.